**USAARL Report No. 94-12** 





# AD-A278 350

U.S. Army Aviation Epidemiology Data Register: Army Aviators With Diabetes Mellitus and Impaired Glucose Tolerance

By

Kevin T. Mason

and

Samuel G. Shannon



Aircrew Protection Division

94 4 19 101

94-11968

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

March 1994

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-0577

#### **Notice**

#### <u>Oualified requesters</u>

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

#### Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.

#### Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

#### Disclaimer

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

Reviewed:

KEVIN T. MASON

LTC, MS

Director, Aircrew Protection Division

Mason

ROSER W. WILLEY, Q. D., Ph.D.

Chairman, Scientific

Review Committee

Released for publication:

DAVID H. KARNEY

Colonel, MC, SFS

Commanding

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT							
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	DLE	Approved t limited	or public re	lease;	distribution			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMB	ER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NU	MBER(S)			
USAARL Report No. 94-12								
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SGRD-UAD	1	ONITORING ORGAN Medical Rese		nd Development			
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			ty, State, and ZIP (	ode)				
P.O. Box 620577 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-0577		Fort Detri Frederick,	ck MD 21701~50	12				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATI	ON NUMBER			
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBER	5				
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO. 301627	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.			
		62787A	A878	H	C 144·			
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) (U) U.S. Army Aviation Epidemi	ology Data Regi	ster: Army	Aviators wit	h Diab	etes Mellitus			
and Impaired Glucose Tolerance 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	:	<del></del>						
Kevin T. Mason and Samuel G. S	hannon							
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME C			ORT (Year, Month, I	Day) 15.	PAGE COUNT			
Final FROM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	0	1994 Marc	h		21			
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (							
				dence,	dianetes			
05 02	1			AV E	ACCOMPANIENCE			
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP  O6 05 mellitus, impaired glucose tolerance  O5 02  19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  The U.S. Army Aviation Epidemiology Data Register (AEDR) was queried for Army aviators with the finding of glycosuria, hyperglycemia, impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), diabetes mellitus (DM), use of oral hypoglycemic agents, or use of insulin for the period 1988 to 1992. The paper reviewed the literature pertaining to aircrew with diabetes, listed the aeromedical concerns related to flying duties and diabetes, and listed factors affecting the incidence and prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Army aviators.  The study tabulated the incidence and age-specific annual rates of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance, and tabulated the distribution and reasons for aeromedical dispositions of aircrew with these conditions. U.S. Army aeromedical planners can expect about 21 new cases of DM and IGT each year. Aviators over 35 years of age were at the greatest risk. About 78.4 percent of the aviators with DM and about 10.9 percent of the aviators with IGT will not be fit for flying duties. This was primarily due to an inability to gain dietary control of their condition or the discovery of other significant medical conditions, such as coronary artery disease.  A cost-benefit and case-finding analysis of trained aviators stratified by age showed that screening for DM and IGT would be more effective if started at 35 years of age.  20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT  21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION								
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS I	RPT. DTIC USERS		-	J 25: 65	FICE CYLLEGI			
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Chief, Scientific Information	Center	226. TELEPHONE ( (205) 255-6	(Include Area Code) 907		FICE SYMBOL D-UAX-SI			

#### Table of contents

	round	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	J
Mil Dia Emp Mil Air	troduct litary abetes ployees litary rcrew m reening iation	rel mel s wi ser nemb	leva llid ith rvic pers	anc tus di ce s w	e i ab ap	n et pl	the es ica dia	me ant abe	jen ell s ete	era itu wit s m	ls :h nel	po di	pu .ab	la et	ti es	or	nel	11	itu		•	•	•	•	•	445667
Method	ds			•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Result	ts		•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		9
Discus	ssion		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	.3
Concl	usions			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	1	.5
Refer	ences		•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	.8
							L	<u>is</u>	t c	of	<u>ta</u>	ble	<u>es</u>													
mahla.																								•	<b>`</b> ~	
Table 1.	Nation																					_			Pag	
1.	Nation of	dia	abet e o:	tes f d	: lia	be	tes	 5 N	nel	lit	us	; а	· nd	! i	.mp	aj	ire	ed	•					•	eag •	8
1. 2.	Nation of Incide glu	dia ence ucos	abet e o: se t	tes f d tol	lia er di	be an	tes ce	o n pe	nel er me	lit 1,0	us 000	· a A	ind irm	l i ly ld	mp av in	· oai via	re itc	ed ec	1 g	jlι		ose		•	•	8
1. 2. 3.	Nation of Incide glu Preval tol	dia ence icos lend	abete of the control	tes f d tol of e p n c	lia er di er	abe an ab	etes	pe pe es 00 med wi	mel me av	lit 1,0 lli iat	tus 000 itu cor di	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	and an by	il i	.mp av im	ai via	re ire ire	ed ors ed our ito	eor	ylv · nes						8 9 .0
1. 2. 3.	Nation of Incide glu Preval tol Districtor Primar from	dia ence icos lend lera ibut imp	te of the contract of the cont	tes  f d  tol  of  e p  av  av  av  ati	lia er di er oer of via l g	ab ae to	etes ete ,00 eror eror	pe es 00 wi wi se	mel me av lic ith to	litt 1,0 llitiat al di al due	itu itu di di ak te	s a A ls is is pet ince	and an by oos	il i	impav image	oai via npa on li	rento	ed red our our it our	· il cor	ylu · nes					. 1	8 9 .0

### List of tables (Continued).

7.	Aeromedical concerns related to flying duties and diabetes	16
8.	Factors affecting the incidence and prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Army aviators	17

	-		
499988	on for		'
BTIS	RALI		
DTIC T.	A.B		
Unanno			
Jastif	igation		
B <sub>1</sub> ,			
By	but love		
	ability		
	Wedl ar	10/02	
Dist	Spec 16	ıl	
14	1		
11,	1		
•			-

#### Background

#### Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common metabolic disorder. In the United States, 11 million Americans have DM. Of these, five million are unaware they have the disorder. DM is the seventh leading cause of premature death in the United States contributing to 130,000 deaths each year. DM is associated with cardio-vascular disease, blindness, renal failure, and peripheral neuropathy. The health care burden of DM is estimated to be \$14 billion per year (U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, 1989).

DM is a cluster of syndromes, separated into four groups: Type I, type II, gestational diabetes, and secondary DM. Type I DM is caused by pancreatic beta cell degeneration resulting in insulin deficiency, hyperglycemia, and ketoacidosis. Type I DM has been called "insulin-dependent" or "juvenile-onset" diabetes. While the cause of type I DM is unknown, it may be associated with viral infections and other factors in genetically susceptible individuals. Type I DM may occur at any age, but usually occurs in childhood or early adulthood. About 10 percent of patients with DM have type I DM (Last and Wallace, 1992).

Type II DM is characterized by a gradual onset of resistance to insulin metabolism at the cellular level, despite normal serum insulin levels. This results in hyperglycemia without ketoacidosis. Type II DM occurs primarily in adulthood, especially after age 40. Type II DM also has been called "adult-onset diabetes" or "non-insulin-dependent diabetes," though some patients with Type II DM may be treated with insulin. Advancing age, obesity, family history, and a history of gestational diabetes are major risk factors associated with the onset of symptomatic disease. About 90 percent of patients with DM have type II DM (Nelson et al., 1988).

Gestational diabetes is a dysfunction of glucose metabolism that develops during three percent of pregnancies. The condition usually resolves spontaneously after delivery. Gestational diabetes is associated with an increased risk for premature delivery, macrosomia, perinatal morbidity and mortality, congenital malformation, and metabolic disorders (Willson, 1992).

Secondary DM is diagnosed by exclusion of the other three types of DM and assessment of other risk factors. Causes include pancreatic disease, hormonal imbalances, drug and chemical insult, insulin receptor abnormalities, and rare genetic syndromes (Last and Wallace, 1992).

Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) is a metabolic state represented by hyperglycemia, but not of a degree to meet the

various criteria proposed for the diagnosis of DM. IGT has been called "prediabetes" or "glucose intolerance." In many patients, IGT is transient and spontaneously reverts to a normal state (Troxler, Trabal, and Lancaster, 1975). Other patients progress to the diabetic state over a variable period. Surgery, trauma, infection, obesity, pregnancy, and alcohol intoxication are risk factors associated with IGT (Last and Wallace, 1992).

#### Military relevance

The incidence and age-specific rates of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance among U.S. Army aviators, and other aircrew, are not known. Among aircrew members with these conditions, the risk of medical termination from aviation service and cost-benefit of detection are unknown. We conducted a review of the literature and an analysis of the Aviation Epidemiology Data Register to answer these questions.

#### Diabetes mellitus in the general population

The prevalence of DM varies in the general population based on medical criteria for DM, geographic location, genetic history, population age distribution, and availability and quality of medical care. For example, in Zimbabwe and across the African rain belt, the prevalence ranges from one to two per 1,000 population. In developed, Western countries, the prevalence of DM varies from 10 to 40 per 1,000 population. Some populations in the Asian subcontinent have a prevalence of DM as high as 100 per 1,000 population (Renftle, 1973; Petersdorf et al., 1983; Manson-Bahr and Bell, 1987).

In the United States during the period 1980 to 1987, the age-standardized prevalence of DM increased significantly from 25.4 to 27.6 cases per 1,000 persons (p=0.03). This increase was due to a combination of factors, including an increase in the incidence of DM, a decrease in DM mortality, and an increase in the age of the population. Although the total mortality from DM declined during this period, there was a significant increase in the hospitalization rates for DM complicated by stroke, ketoacidosis, cardiovascular disease, lower extremity amputations, and end-stage renal disease (Centers for Disease Control, 1990; Wetterhall et al., 1992).

The age-stratified prevalence of DM in the United States increases by four-fold after age 44, and doubles again by age 65. Females have a higher prevalence than males, whatever their age and race (Hadden and Harris, 1987). African, Hispanic, and Native Americans are at higher risk for developing DM and its complications than Americans of European descent (Harris and

Hamman, 1985; Department of Health and Human Services, 1985; Harris, 1991; Gohdes, Kaufman, and Valway, 1993).

From birth until 19 years of age, the incidence of insulindependent DM is about 18 per 100,000 population. For the age group 20 to 24 years, the incidence drops to 5.2 per 100,000 population, rising to 10.7 per 100,000 population for the age group 40-49, and rising again to 15.2 per 100,000 population for the age group greater than 69 (Melton, Palumbo, and Chu, 1983a). These figures may be biased because some patients older than 20 with noninsulin dependent DM may be treated at some time with insulin, especially upon initial diagnosis. It is estimated that 7.9 percent of Americans with DM have insulin-dependent DM, but how many truly have type I DM is unknown (Melton et al., 1983b).

Similar to the age-specific prevalence in DM, the age-specific prevalence of IGT increases with age. There is nearly a four-fold increase after age 44, followed by a gradual increase into the seventh decade of life. In contrast to DM, the differences in the prevalence of IGT by gender and race are diminished (Harris et al. 1987).

#### Employees with diabetes mellitus

The prevalence of DM was 7.43 per 1,000 employees in a survey of 400,795 workers from a variety of major British companies. In the same study, the prevalence of insulin dependent DM was 2.77 per 1,000 employees (Waclawski, 1989).

Patients with DM have employment prospects similar to patients without DM. An exception is restriction from employment in certain occupations that might affect public or personal safety. Example occupations include aviation, racing, diving, military service, commercial and railway transportation, search and rescue, law enforcement and fire fighting, bridge and electrical line repair, heavy construction, scaffold work, mining, hazardous material handling, and remote petrochemical and construction operations (Waclawski, 1989).

The main basis for employment restriction is the possible public or personal hazard due to acute medical incapacitation caused by DM, especially insulin-dependent diabetes. The risk of incapacitation may be greater in occupations with irregular work hours, unpredictable meal and sleep times, unpredictable demand for physical activity, or exposure to physiologic stressors. Such circumstances are common in operational military aviation.

Another basis for restriction may be isolation of the work site from basic or emergency medical services. Insulin requires special handling and storage. Complications of DM may require

prompt or specialized medical attention. As many as 9 percent of patients with insulin-dependent DM may require emergency room care for hypoglycemic reactions each year (Potter et al., 1982).

Military service applicants with diabetes mellitus

During the period 1980 to 1990, 3886 officer candidate applicants to the Royal Army of Great Britain were examined. Three (0.08 percent) were disqualified due to DM (Dignan, 1992).

Among 5,858 male applicants to U.S. Army aviator training positions during 1989, one (0.017 percent) was disqualified for DM and two (0.034 percent) for IGT (Mason, 1990). None of 554 female applicants to U.S. Army aviator training positions were disqualified for DM or IGT from 1986 to 1988 (Mason, 1989).

All of these Army applicants were prescreened as military recruits, or Reserve Officer Training Corps or U.S. Military Academy cadet applicants. During prescreening testing, all with DM or IGT are disqualified from entering the service and cannot apply for aviator training positions. The number of cases found in these studies reflects the number of individuals who escaped detection or developed abnormal glucose chemistries between their recruitment physical and flight school application physical. The interval between examinations varies from 1 month to 5 years.

#### Aircrew members with diabetes mellitus

From 1969 to 1976, 90 of 1232 (73 per 1,000 over 8 years) Indian civil pilots were unfit for duties due to DM or glucose tolerance test abnormalities. The cases accounted for 38 percent of total medical disabilities in this pilot cohort (Mukerjee and Seth, 1978). Over 28 years, United Airlines reported an incidence of DM of 0.27 per 1,000 man-years of pilot employment, accounting for 9.7 percent of pilot groundings (Catlett and Kidera, 1966). As of 1986, Japan Airlines reported 92 of 2,071 (47.8 per 1,000) pilots were under care for non-insulin-dependent DM or IGT (Tajima et al., 1989). The subject age distribution and age-specific rates of DM were not stated in these studies.

Among Canadian military aircrew members followed for 10 years, 15 were referred for persistent glycosuria. Four cases (26.7 percent) were medically retired due to symptomatic DM requiring oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin for control. Six cases (40.0 percent) were diagnosed with DM, but returned to flying duties with a waiver after achieving dietary control of hyperglycemia. Four cases (26.7 percent) were returned to flying duties with the diagnosis of IGT. One patient was found to be normal and returned to flying duties (Stevenson, 1971).

A review of 7,778 U.S. Army aviator trainees from 1986 to 1990, found one trainee who was medically eliminated from the 1 year flight training program due to DM. Each trainee underwent a minimum of two screening examinations for DM consisting of fasting blood sugar and urine glucose measurements before beginning flight training (Mason, 1991).

#### Screening for diabetes mellitus

Consideration must be given to the cost-benefit of screening aircrew members for DM and IGT. Available tests include screening the urine glucose, fasting blood sugar (FBS), 1-hour and 2-hour postprandial blood glucose, and fasting glycosylated hemoglobin Alc (HgbAlc).

Used independently, the urine glucose and HgbAlc are poor screening tests for DM and IGT (National Diabetes Data Group, 1984; Ashby, 1985). Using both a FBS and HgbAlc obtains the best specificity and positive predictive value of screening test panel for DM and IGT (Cederholm, 1984). However, the FBS is the best single screening test, though the FBS may miss some patients with postprandial hyperglycemia (Modan, 1984).

Postprandial blood glucose tests are useful as diagnostic tests, differentiating DM from IGT when the screening FBS is abnormal. The 1-hour postprandial blood glucose is the more sific for differentiating IGT from normal glucose tolerance, we the 2-hour postprandial glucose is more specific for differentiating DM from normal glucose tolerance (Modan, 1984; Simon, 1985; Albutt, 1985; Lester, 1985).

A recent technique used to monitor blood glucose at home is the "finger-stick" capillary blood test. Patients prick their finger tip with a needle and place a drop of capillary blood on a special dipstick. After time exposure to the blood, the dipstick is rinsed with water and placed in a colorimeter to measure the approximate blood glucose (±15 percent), but the result is usually lower than the FBS. The predictive value of this method as a screening test for DM and IGT is still under investigation.

#### Aviation Epidemiology Data Register

The AEDR is a family of databases containing the medical history and physical parameters of Army aircrew members. One component stores the physical examination parameters, which are evaluated during the annual flying duty medical examination (FDME). A second component consolidates and stores the history information from each FDME. Another component is the waiver and suspense file (WSF). The WSF is an index of major diseases and

disabilities of Army aircrew that references an image archive (Microx and laser optic) of the medical documents about the case.

#### Methods

The AEDR consolidated history database (Standard Form 93), flight physical examination findings, and the Waiver and Suspense File were searched for ICD9-CM codes related to cases with the finding of glycosuria, hyperglycemia, impaired glucose tolerance, diabetes mellitus, use of oral hypoglycemic agents, or use of insulin for the period 1988 to 1992. The case summaries and consolidated histories of each case matching the search were reviewed. Selected data elements were cross tabulated on a spreadsheet for analysis. These elements included Social Security numbers (SSN), age at diagnosis, calendar year of diagnosis, the final aeromedical disposition, and other medical diagnoses.

Aviators with glycosuria or fasting blood sugar greater than 115 mg/dl underwent standard glucose tolerance testing with a 75 gram oral glucose load. The results of the fasting blood sugar, and 1-hour and 2-hour postprandial glucose, were applied to the criteria in Table 1, adopted from the National Diabetes Data Group of the National Institute of Health classification (National Diabetes Data Group, 1979). The aviator met the criteria for a given condition on two or more occasions before a final diagnosis was made (Department of the Army, 1989).

Table 1.

National Diabetes Data Group classification of diabetes.

	Glucose, mg/dl					
Category	Fasting	1 hour postprandial	2 hour postprandial			
Normal	<115	<200	<140			
Impaired glucose tolerance	115-140	140-200	>200			
Diabetes mellitus	>140	>200	>200			
Gestational diabetes	>105	>190	>165			

An "aviator-year" was defined as an individual aviator undergoing a physical examination in 1 calendar year. The aviator was assumed to be in the followup cohort for that entire calendar year.

The costs of screening tests used to detect DM and IGT were determined by telephonic survey of area military and civilian hospital laboratories. The U.S. Army aviation training applicant cohort noted in the background discussion, and the trained aviator cohort from this study were used for the cost-benefit analysis.

#### Results

The incidence of DM and IGT per 1,000 Army aviator-years for each calendar year from 1988 through 1992 is shown in Table 2. There were about 10 new cases of DM per year and 11 new cases of IGT per year among U.S. Army aviators.

Table 2.

Incidence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance per 1,000 Army aviator-years.

	Aviator	Diabetes mellitus		Impaired glue	cose tolerance
Year	years	N	Incidence	N	Incidence
1988	22417	8	0.36	1	0.04
1989	22092	9	0.41	5	0.23
1990	21830	14	0.64	14	0.64
1991	21694	11	0.51	18	0.83
1992	19653	9	0.46	17	0.87
N		51		55	

Each calendar year was compared to the other calendar years using the binomial test with a one-tail test of significance (Conover, 1980; Gustafson, 1984). After controlling for the influence of multiple comparisons on the experimentalwise error rate (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980), the incidence of DM did not change significantly during the period 1988 through 1992.

By contrast, the incidence of IGT increased significantly between 1988 and 1989 (p=0.0004), and 1989 and 1990 (p=0.0003). After 1990, the incidence of IGT continued to increase, but not significantly compared to 1990.

Table 3 shows the annual rate of DM and IGT per 1,000 aviator-years stratified by age groups, divided into five year intervals, for the period 1988 to 1992. An aviator case was counted in an age group based on the age at initial diagnosis. The risk of developing DM and IGT increases with age, a pattern seen in the age-specific incidence in the general population.

Table 3.

Annual rate of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance per 1,000 aviator-years stratified by age group.

	Mean annual	Dia	oetes mellitus	Impaired glucose tolerance			
Age Group	aviator-years 1988 to 1992	N	Annual rate per 1,000 aviator-years	N	Annual rate per 1,000 aviator-years		
20-24	1,571	0	0.00	0	0.00		
25-29	4,740	2	0.42	0	0.00		
30-34	4,104	1	0.24	1	0.04		
35-39	3,821	6	0.34	8	0.45		
40-44	4,196	15	3.57	15	0.64		
45-49	1,644	8	4.90	13	1.29		
50-54	421	10	23.75	11	4.08		
55-59	149	7	46.98	5	5.24		
60-70	30	4	20.73	1	5.18		
Summary		53	2.64	55	0.51		

Each 5-year age group interval was compared to the next using the binomial test with a one-tail test of significance (Conover, 1980; Gustafson, 1984). The age-specific rate of DM increased significantly for each 5-year interval from ages 25 through 54 (p<0.01). After age 55, although the rate of DM increased two-fold, and then three-fold, differences between each group had only borderline statistical significance (p<0.06). The borderline significance likely was due to the small number of aviators in the last two year-groups (N=191 and N=39) and the infrequent occurrence of DM in the total aviator population.

The age-specific rate of IGT increased significantly for each 5-year interval from ages 35 through 54 (p<0.001). After age 55, the age-specific rate of IGT gradually increased, but the difference between age groups was not statistical significant.

Table 4 shows the distribution of aeromedical disposition outcomes for DM and IGT by calendar year of diagnosis. Overall, 21.6 percent of aviators with DM were returned to flying duties with a waiver following nonpharmacologic control of their hyperglycemia. Among the aviators with IGT, 89.1 percent were returned to flying duties as qualified "for information only" following nonpharmacologic control of hyperglycemia.

Table 4.

Distribution of aeromedical disposition outcomes for Army aviators with diabetes mellitus or impaired glucose tolerance.

	Diabet	es mellitus	Impaired glucose toleran				
Year	N	Not flying	N	Not flying			
1988	8	7 (87.5%)	1	0 (0.0%)			
1989	9	5 (55.5%)	5	0 (0.0%)			
1990	14	9 (64.3%)	14	2 (14.3%)			
1991	11	10 (90.9%)	18	3 (16.7%)			
1992	9	9 (100.0%)	17	1 (5.9%)			
Totals	51	40 (78.4%)	55	6 (10.9%)			

Table 5 shows the major reasons for medical termination from aviation service. Of the 55 aviators with IGT, six were not returned to flying duties. The diagnosis of IGT was not the cause of medical termination from aviation service. Each case had other medical conditions incompatible with flying duties.

Of the 51 aviators with DM, 40 were not returned to flying duties (Table 5). One-half required insulin or oral hypoglycemic medications for control. These medications generally are considered incompatible with piloting military aircraft (Stevenson, 1971; Renftle, 1973; DeHart, 1985; Ernsting and King, 1988; Department of the Army, 1989). Two aviators, who were under waiver for continued flying duties with diet-controlled DM, relapsed while in Saudi Arabia during Desert Shield/Storm Operations in 1991. They were evacuated to their home base, achieved control with oral hypoglycemic medication, and were medically terminated from aviation service. The remaining grounded aviators with DM either had cardiovascular disease, poor control of hyperglycemia by diet, or were lost to followup.

Table 5.

Primary reasons for medical termination from aviation service due to diabetes mellitus or impaired glucose tolerance.

Diagnosis	Total	Primary reason for not flying
Diabetes	12	Use of oral hypoglycemic medications
mellitus	9	Use of insulin
	8	Retired, followup evaluation incomplete
and	4	Coronary angiography indicated, but declined
	4	Poor control by diet at last followup
	3	Coronary or aortic atherosclerotic disease
Impaired glucose	2	Administrative elimination for obesity
tolerance	1	Mitral valve prolapse and abnormal GXT
with	1	Unresolved major affective disorder
	1	Significant coronary artery disease
	1	Retired, followup evaluation incomplete

A survey of venipuncture and processing costs of a FBS in area hospitals averaged \$4 per test, and \$1 per test when the FBS was measured in a panel of multiple tests. FBS testing is submitted with a flying duty medical examination usually as a panel of multiple blood tests. Home monitoring of the blood glucose costs about \$1 per test.

Three of 6,412 U.S. Army aviator training applicants were disqualified for DM and IGT as noted in the backgound discussion. The theoretical cost of finding these three cases, \$6,412, is overshadowed by over \$750,000 potentially lost in basic flight training costs had they been trained and disqualified later.

The annual cost of screening the entire trained aviator population would be \$21,530 (Table 6). Screening for DM and IGT beginning at age 35 would cost \$11,241 annually, or an average of \$551 per case of diabetes. Screening beginning at age 35 would identify 95 percent of the DM cases and 98 percent of the IGT cases. The intangible benefit would be treatment and return to flying duties of 57 percent of the aviators with DM and IGT, and restriction from flying duties of the 43 percent at high risk for acute incapacitation or deployment restriction. Screening before age 35 would cost 23-fold more dollars, while only finding 3.8 percent of the total cases.

#### Discussion

Aircrew members with diabetes cannot properly metabolize serum glucose due to either insulin deficiency, impaired insulin utilization, or both. This metabolic state results in hyperglycemia, which is accompanied by acute and chronic, multisystem complications. Patients with diabetes are at an increased risk for premature mortality. Exposure to the combat operational environment increases the risk for complications due to irregular meal/rest hours, fatigue, environmental physiologic stress, and pathogen exposure. Control of hyperglycemia may decrease the risk for complications, but does not cure the disease process. Table 7 shows some general aeromedical concerns related to flying and diabetes. Table 8 shows the factors affecting the incidence and prevalence of DM in Army aircrew members.

Table 6.

Summary of screening costs for identifying diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance among Army aviators 1988 to 1992.

Age	Total aviator- years	Total FBS cost	Cases of DM and IGT	Cost per case	Percent of total cases
20-24	5,050	\$5,050	0	\$5,050	
25-29	23,300	\$23,300	2	\$11,650	
30-34	23,095	\$23,095	2	\$11,548	
Summary	51,445	\$51,445	4	\$12,861°	3.8%
35-39	17,910	\$17,910	14	\$1,279	
40-44	23,595	\$23,595	29	\$814	
45-49	10,850	\$10,850	21	\$517	
50-54	2,700	\$2,700	21	\$129	
55-59	955	\$955	12	\$80	
60-70	195	\$195	5	\$39	
Summary	56,205	\$56,205	102	\$551°	96.2%

<sup>\*</sup> Average cost per case identified in the year groups summary.

This study supports the utility of developing aeromedical databases such as the Aviation Epidemiology Data Register. The AEDR permitted characterization of the incidence, age-specific rates, and aeromedical outcomes of DM and IGT for the first time in Army aviation medicine history. Other studies of DM and IGT in aviators were cross-sectional designs, usually without including age distribution or cost-benefit analysis. Others have focused on the problems of making a diagnosis based on the finding of one abnormal blood glucose or glucose intolerance test. Our analysis fills the void left by these previous works and directly supports the Army aviation medicine micrion.

#### Conclusions

DM and IGT are significant causes of morbidity and mortality in the United States. DM is associated with multiple risks for acute incapacitation and limitations to deployability. Screening for DM and IGT in aircrew members is warranted due to many aeromedical concerns expressed in this paper.

Based on the historical data in this study, U.S. Army aeromedical planners can expect about 21 new cases of DM and IGT each year. Aviators older than age 35 are at the greatest risk for developing these conditions. About 78.4 percent of the aviators with DM and about 10.9 percent of the aviators with IGT will not be fit for flying duties. This is primarily due to an inability to gain dietary control of their condition or the discovery of other significant medical conditions, such as coronary artery disease.

This study discusses the cost savings of screening for DM and IGT in aviator training candidates, despite the rarity of new cases found in this population (0.5 per 1,000 candidates). A cost-benefit and case-finding analysis of trained aviators stratified by age shows that screening for DM and IGT would be more effective if started at age 35 and not at age 40, as stated in the current policy.

#### Table 7.

Aeromedical concerns related to flying duties and diabetes.

#### General

1. Aircrew members with DM are at greater risk for morbidity and mortality given the same degree of exposure to trauma or infection when compared to normal aircrew members

#### Increased risk for acute incapacitation due to-

- 1. Insulin deficiency with ketoacidosis and coma; often precipitated by infection, dehydration, irregular meals, fatigue, and other psychosocial and environmental factors common in combat aviation operations
  - 2. Hypoglycemia with acute incapacitation or coma
  - 3. Fluctuating visual acuity caused by hyperglycemia
  - 4. Sudden death caused by cardiovascular complications
  - 5. Frequency of urination during flight as a distractor

#### Limitations of the operational setting due to-

- 1. Irregular availability of insulin and oral hypoglycemic medications in combat, and insulin storage problems
- 2. Demand for care exceeds the capabilities of front line medical facilities for management of DM and complications
  - 3. Decreased hygiene and increased risk of pathogen exposure
  - 4. Unpredictable work, sleep, and meal schedules
- 5. Risk of prolonged operations behind enemy lines or sustained operations scenarios
- 6. Risk of no medical care in escape and evasion, hostage, or POW settings
- 7. Evacuation to higher echelons of medical care creates an aviation unit loss, replacement may be delayed or not available

## Increased risk for complications due to multisystem chronic degenerative diseases involving the-

- 1. Cardiovascular system with myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident, peripheral vascular disease, and other incapacitating cardiovascular events
- 2. Neurologic system with peripheral neuropathy accompanied by trench foot, frost bite, contusion, laceration, thermal injury, infection, ulceration, and/or gangrene of the extremities
- 3. Ophthalmologic system with retinal hemorrhage, retinal degeneration, and blindness
- 4. Renal system with chronic renal failure and renal infections

#### Table 8.

Factors affecting the incidence and prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Army aviators.

#### Preselection effect

- 1. Aviator candidates must be qualified to join the military and are excluded if they have DM or persistent glycosuria.
- 2. Before application, most candidates have been under medical observation in military service for months to years, increasing the chance for disease discovery.
- 3. During the application process, aviator candidates undergo a minimum of two additional physical examinations. Patients with DM, IGT, or persistent glycosuria are excluded.

#### Health and welfare effect

- 1. Most aviators flying for the Army are soldiers. Soldiers participate in mandated physical fitness and weight control programs. These programs may influence the onset of disease.
- 2. Army aviators are examined annually, resulting in the discovery and treatment of "prediabetic" metabolic conditions.
- 3. Army aviators are fully employed and covered by a comprehensive health care plan.

#### Race, gender, and age differences effect

- 1. Army aviators are predominately Caucasian males, a subpopulation of the general population at lower risk for developing DM or IGT (Harris, 1991).
- 2. Noncaucasian and female Americans are at increased risk for developing DM in the general population. Only 4.9 percent of Army aviators are nonCaucasian (Schrimsher and Shannon, 1993). Only 2.5 percent of Army aviators are female (Mason, 1993).
- 3. The age distribution of Army aviators is different from the general population. Thirty-one percent of the U.S. population exceeds 44 years old, compared to 23.3 percent of Army aviators (Mason, 1993; U.S. Department of Commerce, 1992).

#### Aeromedical disposition effect

- 1. Seventy-eight percent of Army aviators with DM are removed from flying duties and are no longer part of the aviator cohort.
- 2. The diagnosis of DM affects flying careers. Some aviators may conceal the condition and seek treatment at nonmilitary facilities, delay seeking evaluation of symptoms, unconsciously attribute symptoms to other conditions, such as aging (Borzewski, 1991), or retire from service before the diagnosis is known by the military health care system.
- 3. Changes in aeromedical policy may change the diagnostic criteria for making the diagnosis of DM or IGT or alter risk for return to flying duties after diagnosis.

#### References

- Albutt, E. C. 1985. Glucose tolerance test and glycosylated hemoglobin measurement for diagnosing diabetes mellitus.

  Annals of clinical biochemistry. 22:67-73.
- Ashby, J. P. 1985. Glycosylated haemoglobin, Part I: Measurement and clinical interpretation. <u>Diabetic medicine</u>. 2:83-87.
- Borzewski, T. L. 1991. Aviation medicine report: It's the little things (personal account of an Army aviator who developed diabetes). Aviation digest. November/December:46-48.
- Catlett, G. F., and Kidera, G. J. 1966. Detection and management of latent diabetes in commercial pilots. <u>Aerospace medicine</u>. 37:545-551.
- Cederholm, J. 1984. Comparison of glycosylated hemoglobin with oral glucose tolerance test. <u>Diabetes and metabolism</u>. 10:224-229.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1990. Prevalence and incidence of diabetes- United States, 1980-1987. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 39:809-812.
- Conover, W. J. 1980. <u>Practical nonparametric statistics, 2nd</u> <u>edition</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- DeHart, R. L. (ed.) 1985. <u>Fundamentals of aerospace medicine</u>. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger.
- Department of the Army. 1989. Aeromedical policy letter 16-89, diabetes and glucose intolerance. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Center.
- Department of Health and Human Services. 1985. Report of the secretary's task force on black and minority health. Volume 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Dignan, A. P. 1992. A decade of experience of examining candidates for entry to the Army. <u>Journal of the Royal Army Medical Corps</u>. 138:19-22.
- Ernsting, J., and King, P. (eds.) 1988. <u>Aviation medicine</u>. London: Butterworths.
- Gohdes, D., Kaufman, S., and Valway, S. 1993. Diabetes in American Indians. <u>Diabetes care</u>. 16:239-243.

- Gustafson, T. L. 1984. <u>Epistat</u>. Round Rock, Texas: public domain software.
- Hadden, W. C., and Harris, M. I. 1987. Prevalence of diagnosed diabetes, undiagnosed diabetes, and impaired glucose tolerance in adults age 20-74 years of age. <u>Vital health statistics</u>, volume 11. 237:1-55.
- Harris, M. I. 1991. Epidemiologic correlates of NIDDM in hispanics, whites, and blacks in the U.S. population. <u>Diabetes</u> care. 14:639-648.
- Harris, M. I., and Hamman, R. F. 1985. <u>Diabetes in America</u>. Washington, D.C.: National Institutes of Health. NIH publication No. 85-1468.
- Harris, M. I., Hadden, W. C., Knowler, W. C., and Bennettt, P. H. 1987. Prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance, and plasma glucose levels in U.S. population aged 20-74 yr. <u>Diabetes</u>. 36:523-534.
- Last, J. M., and Wallace, R. B. (eds.) 1992. <u>Public health and preventive medicine (13th edition)</u>. Norwalk, Connecticut: Appleton and Lange.
- Lester, E. 1985. Glycosylated haemoglobin as an alternative to the glucose tolerance test for the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. Annals of clinical biochemistry. 22:74-78.
- Mason, K. T. 1989. Memorandum for Aeromedical Consultant Advisory Panel, Subject: Disqualifications of female Class 1/1A applicants from 1986 to 1988. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Center.
- Mason, K. T. 1990. Memorandum for Aeromedical Consultant Advisory Panel, Subject: Disqualifications of male Class 1/1A applicants during 1989. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Center.
- Mason, K. T. 1991. Memorandum for Aeromedical Consultant Advisory Panel, Subject: Medical elimination rates of Fort Rucker aviator trainees from 1986 to 1990. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Center.
- Mason, K. T. 1993. Aviation Epidemiology Data Register: Age distribution of U.S. Army aviators stratified by gender and component of service. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory (in press).
- Manson-Bahr, P. E. C., and Bell, D. R. 1987. <u>Manson's tropical</u> <u>diseases</u>. London: Bailliere Tindall.

- Melton, L. J., Palumbo, P. J., and Chu, C. P. 1983a. Incidence of diabetes mellitus by clinical type. <u>Diabetes care</u>. 6:75-86.
- Melton, L. J., Ochi, J. W., Palumbo, P. J., and Chu, P. C. 1983b. Sources of disparity in the spectrum of diabetes mellitus incidence and prevalence. <u>Diabetes care</u>. 6:427-431.
- Modan, M. 1984. Effectiveness of glycosylated hemoglobin, fasting plasma glucose, and single post-load plasma glucose level in general population screening for glucose intolerance. American journal of epidemiology. 119:431-44.
- Mukerjee, S. K., and Seth, V. K. 1978. Disability pattern amongst civil aircrew. <u>Aviation medicine</u>. June: 46-49.
- National Diabetes Data Group. 1979. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. <u>Diabetes</u>. 28:1039-1057.
- National Diabetes Data Group. 1984. Report of the expert committee on glycosylated hemoglobin. <u>Diabetes care</u>. 7:602-606.
- Nelson, R. G., Everhart, J. E., Knowler, W. C., and Bennett, P. H. 1988. Incidence, prevalence and risk factors for non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. <u>Primary care</u>. 15:227-250.
- Petersdorf, R. G., Adams, R. D., Braunwald, E., Isselbacher, K. J., Martin, J. B., and Wilson, J. D. (eds.) 1983. <u>Harrison's principles of internal medicine</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Potter, J., Clarke, P., Gale E. A. M., Dove, S. H., and Tatter-sall, R. B. 1982. Insulin-induced hypoglycaemia in an accident and emergency department: The tip of the iceberg.

  British medical journal. 285:1180-1182.
- Renftle, G. 1973. The problem of diabetes mellitus in aviation medicine. Fuchs, H. S. (ed.) <u>Pathophysiological conditions compatible with flying</u>. Neuilly-sur-Seine, France: North Atlantic Treaty Organization Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development, AGARD-CP-129-73.
- Schrimsher, R. H., and Shannon, S. G. 1993. Monograph of the aviation epidemiology data register for calendar year 1991. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL Report No. 93-12.

- Simon, D. 1985. Comparison of glycosylated hemoglobin and fasting blood glucose with two-hour post-load plasma glucose in the detection of diabetes. American journal of epidemiology. 122:589-93.
- Snedecor, G. W., and Cochran, W. G. 1980. <u>Statistical methods</u>. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press.
- Stevenson, W. J. C. 1971. Diabetes mellitus in flying personnel. <u>Clinical causes for grounding</u>. Neuilly-sur-Seine, France: North Atlantic Treaty Organization Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development, AGARD-CP-89-71.
- Tajima, N., Yamada, C., Asukata, I., Yamamoto, K., Hokari, M., and Sakai, T. 1989. Pilots with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus can self-monitor their blood glucose.

  <u>Aviation</u>, <u>space</u> and <u>environmental</u> <u>medicine</u>. 60:457-459.
- Troxler, R. G., Trabal, J. F., and Lancaster, M. C. 1975.
  Interpretation of an abnormal oral glucose tolerance test
  encountered during multiphasic laboratory screening. <u>Aviation</u>, space and environmental medicine. 46:729-735.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992. <u>Statistical abstract of the United States (11th edition)</u>. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. 1989. <u>Guide to clinical preventive services: an assessment of the effectiveness of 169 interventions</u>. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins.
- Waclawski, E. R. 1989. Employment and diabetes: A survey of the prevalence of diabetic workers known by occupational physicians and the restrictions placed on diabetic workers in employment. <u>Diabetic medicine</u>. 6:16-19.
- Wetterhall, S. F., Olson, D. R., DeStefano, F., Stevenson, J. M., Ford, E. S., German, R. R., Will, J. C., Newman, J. M., and Sepe, S. J. 1992. Trends in diabetes and diabetic complications, 1980-1987. <u>Diabetes care</u>. 15:960-967.
- Willson, R. J. 1992. <u>Obstetrics and gynecology</u>. St. Louis: C.V. Mosby Company.

#### Other relevant references not cited

Mitchell, B. D., and Stern, M. P. 1992. Recent developments in the epidemiology of diabetes in the Americas. World health statistics quarterly. 45:347-349.

#### Initial distribution

Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research,
Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SATNC-MIL (Documents
Librarian)
Natick, MA 01760-5040

Library
Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab
Box 900, Naval Sub Base
Groton, CT 06349-5900

Chairman
National Transportation Safety Board
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20594

Commander
10th Medical Laboratory
ATTN: Audiologist
APO New York 09180

Naval Air Development Center Technical Information Division Technical Support Detachment Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer, Naval Medical Research and Development Command National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, MD 20814-5044

Deputy Director, Defense Research and Engineering ATTN: Military Assistant for Medical and Life Sciences Washington, DC 20301-3080

Commander, U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine Natick, MA 01760 Executive Director, U.S. Army Human Research and Engineering Directorate ATTN: Technical Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
Man-Machine Integration System
Code 602
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974

Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Code 602-B
Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer Armstrong Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-6573

Director Army Audiology and Speech Center Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307-5001

Commander/Director
U.S. Army Combat Surveillance
and Target Acquisition Lab
ATTN: SFAE-IEW-JS
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5305

Director
Federal Aviation Administration
FAA Technical Center
Atlantic City, NJ 08405

Commander, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command ATTN: AMSTE-AD-H Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Naval Air Systems Command Technical Air Library 950D Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20361

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic
Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Chemical Defense
ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21010-5425

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-RMS
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Washington, DC 20307-5100

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O) 5109 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: Technical Information Branch 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

U.S. Army Materiel Systems
Analysis Agency
ATTN: AMXSY-PA (Reports Processing)
Aberdeen Proving Ground
MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center and School Library Simpson Hall, Building 3071 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental
Hygiene Agency
ATTN: HSHB-MO-A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research and Development Center Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Infectious Disease
ATTN: SGRD-UIZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological Sciences Division Office of Naval Research 600 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217

Commander
U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCDE-XS
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Commandant
U.S. Army Aviation
Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Headquarters (ATMD)
U.S. Army Training
and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-M
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

IAF Liaison Officer for Safety USAF Safety Agency/SEFF 9750 Avenue G, SE Kirtland Air Force Base NM 87117-5671

Naval Aerospace Medical Institute Library Building 1953, Code 03L Pensacola, FL 32508-5600

Command Surgeon HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG) U.S. Central Command MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33608

Air University Library (AUL/LSE) Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

U.S. Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT/LDEE) Building 640, Area B Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433

Henry L. Taylor Director, Institute of Aviation University of Illinois-Willard Airport Savoy, IL 61874 Chief, National Guard Bureau ATTN: NGB-ARS Arlington Hall Station 111 South George Mason Drive Arlington, VA 22204-1382

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
ATTN: SGRD-UAX-AL
4300 Goodfellow Blvd., Building 105
St. Louis, MO 63120

U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command Library and Information Center Branch ATTN: AMSAV-DIL4300 Goodfellow BoulevardSt. Louis, MO 63120

Federal Aviation Administration Civil Aeromedical Institute Library AAM-400A P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
ATTN: Library
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
ATTN: SGRD-USM
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

AAMRL/HEX Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433

John A. Dellinger, Southwest Research Institute P. 0. Box 28510 San Antonio, TX 78284 Product Manager
Aviation Life Support Equipment
ATTN: AMCPM-ALSE
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander and Director
USAE Waterways Experiment Station
ATTN: CEWES-IM-MI-R,
CD Department
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Commanding Officer Naval Biodynamics Laboratory P.O. Box 24907 New Orleans, LA 70189-0407

Assistant Commandant
U.S. Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library
Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Mr. Peter Seib Human Engineering Crew Station Box 266 Westland Helicopters Limited Yeovil, Somerset BA20 2YB UK

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground Technical Library, Building 5330 Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground Technical Library Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library 6510 TW/TSTL Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000 Commander Code 3431 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555

Aeromechanics Laboratory
U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs
Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army ATTN: SMA Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Aeromedical Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Strughold Aeromedical Library
Document Service Section
2511 Kennedy Circle
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5122

Dr. Diane Damos
Department of Human Factors
ISSM, USC
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands
Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

U.S. Army Aviation Engineering
Flight Activity
ATTN: SAVTE-M (Tech Lib) Stop 217
Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000

Ms. Sandra G. Hart Ames Research Center MS 262-3 Moffett Field, CA 94035 Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-UMZ
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009

Commander
U.S. Army Health Services Command
ATTN: HSOP-SO
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

U. S. Army Research Institute Aviation R&D Activity ATTN: PERI-IR Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander U.S. Army Safety Center Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development Test Activity ATTN: STEBG-MP-P Cairns Army Air Field Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL R. Gifford)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

TRADOC Aviation LO Unit 21551, Box A-209-A APO AE 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

British Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362 Italian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Directorate of Training Development Building 502 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office P. O. Box 716 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander, U.S. Army Aviation Center and Fort Rucker ATTN: ATZQ-CG Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
Test & Evaluation Coordinating Board
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Canadian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

French Army Liaison Office USAAVNC (Building 602) Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Australian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund 6 Burning Tree Court Bethesda, MD 20817 Commandant, Royal Air Force Institute of Aviation Medicine Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 6SZ UK

Defense Technical Information Cameron Station, Building 5 Alexandra, VA 22304-6145

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center AIFRTA (Davis) 220 7th Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander
Applied Technology Laboratory
USARTL-ATCOM
ATTN: Library, Building 401
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Commander, U.S. Air Force
Development Test Center
101 West D Avenue, Suite 117
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542-5495

Aviation Medicine Clinic TMC #22, SAAF Fort Bragg, NC 28305

Dr. H. Dix Christensen Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753 Post Office Box 26901 Oklahoma City, OK 73190

Commander, U.S. Army Missile
Command
Redstone Scientific Information Center
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R
/ILL Documents
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Director Army Personnel Research Establishment Farnborough, Hants GU14 6SZ UK

U.S. Army Research and Technology Laboratories (AVSCOM) Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2 NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-ZC (COL John F. Glenn)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Dr. Eugene S. Channing 166 Baughman's Lane Frederick, MD 21702-4083

U.S. Army Medical Department and School USAMRDALC Liaison ATTN: HSMC-FR Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Dr. A. Kornfield, President Biosearch Company 3016 Revere Road Drexel Hill, PA 29026

NVESD AMSEL-RD-NV-ASID-PST (Attn: Trang Bui) 10221 Burbeck Road Fort Belvior, VA 22060-5806

CA Av Med HQ DAAC Middle Wallop Stockbridge, Hants S020 8DY UK Dr. Christine Schlichting Behavioral Sciences Department Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commander, HQ AAC/SGPA Aerospace Medicine Branch 162 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 100 Langley Air Force Base, VA 23665-1995

Commander Aviation Applied Technology Directorate ATTN: AMSAT-R-T Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577 Director
Aviation Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSAT-R-Z
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-ZB (COL C. Fred Tyner)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director
Directorate of Combat Developments
ATTN: ATZQ-CD
Building 515
Fort Rucker, AL 36362